arXiv:1406.7862v1 [math.NT] 30 Jun 2014

DECOUPLING INEQUALITIES AND SOME MEAN-VALUE THEOREMS

JEAN BOURGAIN

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to present some further applications of the general decoupling theory from [B-D1, 2] to certain diophantine issues. In particular, we consider mean value estimates relevant to the Bombieri-Iwaniec approach to exponential sums and arising in the work of Robert and Sargos [R-S]. Our main input is a new mean value theorem.

0. Summary

The aim of this Note is to illustrate how a version of the general decoupling inequality for hypersurfaces established in [B-D] permits to recover certain known mean-value theorems in number theory and establish some new ones. Easy applications in this direction were already pointed out in [B-D] and the material presented here is a further development. Our main emphasis will be on the method rather than the best exponents that can be obtained this way.

In the first section, we state a form of the main decoupling theorem from [B-D] to the situation of smooth hyper surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-degenerate (but not necessarily definite) second fundamental form (a detailed argument appears in [B-D2]). The motivation for this appears in Sections 2 and 3, which aims at proving decoupling inequalities for real analytic curves $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ not contained in a hyperplane. The assumption of real analyticity is purely for convenience (it suffices for the subsequent applications) and a similar result also holds in the smooth category. An $(n-1)$-fold convolution of Γ leads indeed to a hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of non-vanishing curvature. The relevant statement is inequality (3.2) below with moment $q = 2(n+1)$, where we consider the multi-linear (i.e. $(n-1)$-linear) setting. The next step is to reformulate this inequality as a mean-value theorem for exponential sums stated as Theorem 1, which is a quite general and optimal result. Our first focus point are certain mean value inequalities arising in the Bombieri-Iwaniec

approach [B-I1, 2] to exponential sums and the subsequent developments of this technique (see [H] for the complete exposition). More specifically, Theorem 1 is relevant to the so-called 'first spacing problem' which is analytically captured by mean-value expressions of the type

$$N_8(\delta) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(x_0 n + x_1 n^2 + x_2 \frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big)\Big|^8 dx_0 dx_1 dx_2 \quad (0.1)$$

$$N_{10}(\delta, N\delta) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(x_0 n + x_1 n^2 + x_2 \frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} + x_3 \frac{1}{N\delta}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big|^{10} dx_0 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \quad (0.2)$$

and

$$N_{12}(\delta, N\delta) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(x_0 n + x_1 n^2 + x_2 \frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{3/2} + x_3 \frac{1}{N\delta}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big|^{12} dx_0 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3. \quad (0.3)$$

In the application, the most important range of δ is $\delta \sim \frac{1}{N^2}$. As a special case of a more general result, it was proven in [B-I2] that

$$N_8(\delta) \ll \delta N^{5+\varepsilon} + N^{4+\varepsilon} \quad (0.4)$$

and in [H-K] that

$$N_{10}(\delta, N\delta) \ll \delta N^{7+\varepsilon} + N^{5+\varepsilon}. \quad (0.5)$$

Our Fourier analytical approach gives a quite different treatment and unified approach to this problem. In particular, Theorem 9 in Section 4 below shows that in fact

$$N_{10}(\delta, N\delta) \ll N^{5+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for} \quad \delta < N^{-\frac{33}{18}}. \quad (0.6)$$

Since however the main contribution (at least in the treatment [H]) in the exponential sum problem

$$\sum_{m\sim M} e\Big(TF\Big(\frac{m}{M}\Big)\Big) \quad (0.7)$$

has $\delta = \frac{1}{N^2}$, the improvement (0.6) does not lead to new results on this matter.

Our next application are certain mean value results in the work of Robert and Sargos [R-S]. It is proven in [R-S] that

$$I_6(N^{-3}) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2 x + N^{-3} n^4 y)\Big|^6 dx dy \ll N^{3+\varepsilon} \quad (0.11)$$

$$I_8(N^{-\frac{5}{2}}) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big(\sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + N^{-\frac{5}{2}}n^4y)\Big|^8 dxdy \ll N^{\frac{9}{2}+\varepsilon} \tag{0.12}$$

$$I_{10}(N^{-\frac{17}{8}}) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + N^{-\frac{17}{8}}n^4y)\Big|^{10} dxdy \ll N^{\frac{49}{8}+\varepsilon} \tag{0.13}$$

Inequality (0.11) is the optimal statement for the 6th moment (a different proof using the decoupling theorem for curves appears in [B-D]). While (0.12), (0.13) are essentially sharp, they are not the optimal results for the 8th and 10th moment respectively. Since $I_p(\lambda)$ is a decreasing function of λ for p an even integer, (0.13) obviously implies that

$$I_{10} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + n^4y)\Big|^{10} dxdy \ll N^{\frac{49}{8}+\varepsilon}. \tag{0.14}$$

In [R-S] an application of (0.14) to Weyl's inequity is given, following a method initiated by Heath-Brown. In view of the present state of the art, the relevant statement is the bound

$$|f_8(\alpha; N)| = \Big| \sum_{1\le n\le N} e(\alpha n^8)\Big| \ll N^{1-3.2^{-8}}(N^4q^{-1} + 1 + qN^{-4})^{\frac{1}{160}} \tag{0.15}$$

assuming $|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \le q^{-2}, q \ge 1, (a,q) = 1$ (though the exponent $\sigma(8) = 3.2^{-8} = 0,01171\cdots$ is superseded by a recent result of Wooley, see Theorem 7.3 in [W2], which gives in particular $\sigma(8) = \frac{1}{2.7.6} = \frac{1}{84} = 0,01190\cdots$).

More recently, inequality (0.14) has been improved in [P] to

$$I_{10} \ll N^{6+\varepsilon} \tag{0.16}$$

using a different more arithmetical approach. As a consequence the first factor in the r.h.s. of (0.15) is replaced by $N^{1-\frac{16}{5}.2^{-8}}$, i.e. $\sigma(8) = \frac{1}{18} = 0,0125\cdots$.

In the final section of this paper, we establish the bounds

$$I_8(N^{-\frac{7}{3}}) \ll N^{\frac{13}{3}+\varepsilon} \tag{0.17}$$

$$I_{10} \le I_{10}(N^{-\frac{5}{3}}) \ll N^{\frac{17}{3}+\varepsilon} \tag{0.18}$$

implying a corresponding improvement $\sigma(8) = \frac{56}{15}2^{-8} = 0,0145\ldots$ in Weyl's inequality.

1. Decoupling inequality for smooth hypersurfaces with non-vanishing curvature

Let us start by recalling the main result from [B-D], which is the so-called ℓ^2-decoupling theorem for the Fourier transform of distributions carried by hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of positive curvature. This is a quite general harmonic analysis result with diverse applications, in particular to PDE's and spectral theory (see [B-D] for some of these).

In order to formulate the result, we need some terminology. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact smooth hypersurface of positive curvature and denote S_δ ($\delta > 0$ a small parameter) a δ-neighborhood of S. Decompose S_δ as a union of tangent $\underbrace{\sqrt{\delta} \times \cdots \times \sqrt{\delta}}_{n-1} \times \delta$ boxes τ with bounded overlap. Denoting $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a ball of radius R, the following inequality holds for functions f s.t. $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset S_\delta$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \ll \delta^{-\varepsilon} \Big(\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|^2_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{with } p = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \tag{1.1}$$

and $f_\tau = (\hat{f}|_\tau)^\vee$ denoting the Fourier restriction of f to the tile τ.

By interpolation, (1.1) of course also holds for $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$ while for $\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq p \leq \infty$, the inequality becomes

$$\|f\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \ll \delta^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\frac{n+1}{2p}-\varepsilon} \Big(\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|^2_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Next, let us relax the assumption on S, requiring S to have non-degenerate (but not necessarily definite) second fundamental form. A statement such as (1.1) can not be valid any more. For instance, if $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a ruled surface, we may take $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}$ in a $\sqrt{\delta}$-neighborhood of a straight line segment with only the obvious decoupling available. This problem of curvature break-down for lower dimensional sections of S can be bypassed by a suitable reformulation of the decoupling property. Assuming S as above and $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset S_\delta$, one has for $\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\|f\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \ll \delta^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+\frac{n}{p}-\varepsilon} \Big(\sum_\tau \|f_\tau\|^p_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{1.3}$$

This statement is weaker than (1.2) but will perform equally well in what follows because in the applications below $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}$ will be uniformly spread out over S.

The proof of (1.3) requires a modification of the argument in [B-D] (for positive curvature). Details appear in [B-D2]. Our next goal is to derive from (1.3) a decoupling inequality for curves $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ not lying in a hyperplane and which will imply our Theorem 1.

2. Construction of hypersurfaces from curves

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be parametrized by $\Phi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n : t \to \big(t, \varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_{n-1}(t)\big)$ where we assume for simplicity that $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ are real analytic and (importantly) that $1, t, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ linearly independent. In particular, Γ does not lie in a hypersurface. Our assumption means non-vanishing of the Wronskian determinant

$$W(\varphi_1'', \dots, \varphi_{n-1}'') \neq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We build a hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as $(n-1)$-fold sum set

$$S = \Gamma_1 + \cdots + \Gamma_{n-1} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Gamma_j = \Phi(I_j)$ and $I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} \subset I \subset [0,1]$ are fixed consecutive disjoint subintervals. Hence S is parametrized by

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = t_1 + \dots t_{n-1} \\ x_1 = \phi_1(t_1) + \cdots + \phi_1(t_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} = \phi_{n-1}(t_1) + \cdots + \phi_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

with $t_j \in I_j$. Our aim is to show that the second fundamental form of S is non-degenerate (but note that it may be indefinite).

Perturb $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in I_1 \times \cdots \times I_{n-1}$ to $(t_1 + s_1, \dots, t_{n-1} + s_{n-1})$, $|s_j| = o(1)$, obtaining

$$\begin{cases} x_0 - t_1 - \cdots - t_{n-1} \equiv x_0' = s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \phi_1(t_1) - \cdots - \phi_1(t_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} - \phi_{n-1}(t_1) - \cdots - \phi_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}' \end{pmatrix} = D_1 \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \tfrac{1}{2} D_2 \begin{pmatrix} s_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-1}^2 \end{pmatrix} + O(|s|^3) \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1'(t_1) \cdots \phi_1'(t_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \qquad\quad \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1}'(t_1) \cdots \phi_{n-1}'(t_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1''(t_1) \cdots \phi_1''(t_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1}'''(t_1) \cdots \phi_{n-1}''(t_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

The non-vanishing of $\det D_1$ can be derived from the non-vanishing of $W(\phi_1', \dots, \phi_{n-1}')$ which is a consequence of our assumption (2.1).

Hence, since D_1 is invertible and denoting $\xi = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the first equation in (2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_0' &= \left\langle D_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}' \end{pmatrix}, \xi \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} s_j^2 \langle D_1^{-1} D_2 e_j, \xi \rangle + O(|s|^3) \\ &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1'' \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}'' \end{pmatrix}, \xi \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_j'')^2 \langle D_1^{-1} D_2 e_j, \xi \rangle + O(|x''|^3) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1'' \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}'' \end{pmatrix} = D_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (2.6), it remains to ensure that

$$\langle D_1^{-1} D_2 e_j, \xi \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.7}$$

Take $j = 1$. Up to a multiplicative factor,

$$\langle D_2 e_1, (D_1^{-1})^* \xi \rangle \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi_k''(t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1'(t_1) \cdots \phi_1'(t_{n-1}) \\ \vdots \\ 1 \qquad \cdots \qquad 1 \quad \leftarrow k. \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1}'(t_1) \cdots \phi_{n-1}'(t_{n-1}) \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

By the mean-value theorem, we obtain separated $t_1 < t_2' < \cdots < t_{n-1}'$ such that

$$(2.8) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \phi_k''(t_1) \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1''(t_2') \dots \phi_1''(t_{n-1}') \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \quad \leftarrow k \\ \phi_{n-1}''(t_2') \dots \phi_{n-1}''(t_{n-1}') \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1''(t_1) & \phi_1''(t_2') & \cdots & \phi_1''(t_{n-1}') \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1}''(t_1) & \phi_{n-1}''(t_2') & \cdots & \phi_{n-1}''(t_{n-1}') \end{vmatrix}$$

and the non-vanishing can again be ensured by (2.1).

3. Decoupling inequality for curves

Next, we use (1.3) to derive a decoupling inequality for curves (a variant of this approach appears in [B-D2].

Let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be as in §2. Let $\delta > 0$ and denote by Γ_j^δ a δ-neighborhood of Γ_j.

Assume supp $\widehat{f_j} \subset \Gamma_j^\delta$.

Write with $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Φ as above

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big[\int_{I_j} \widehat{f_j}(t_j) e(\langle \Phi(t_j).x \rangle) dt_j \Big] =$$

$$\int_{I_1} \cdots \int_{I_{n-1}} \Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \widehat{f_j}(t_j) \Big] e\big(\langle \Phi(t_1) + \cdots + \Phi(t_{n-1}).x \rangle\big) dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} =$$

$$\int_S \Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \widehat{f_j}(t_j) \Big] e(\xi.x) \Omega(\xi) d\xi \tag{3.1}$$

with Ω some smooth density on S.

Let $p = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$ and apply the decoupling inequality for S stated in (1.3) of Section 1. Observe that by the regularity of D_1 in (2.5), a partition of S in $\sqrt{\delta}$-caps $\tau_\alpha \subset S$ is equivalent to a partition of $I_1 \times \cdots \times I_{n-1}$ in $\sqrt{\delta}$-cubes. Hence, denoting by $J \subset [0,1]$ $\sqrt{\delta}$-intervals, we obtain

$$\|(3.1)\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \ll$$

$$\delta^{-\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}-\varepsilon}\Big\{ \sum_{\substack{J_1,\ldots,J_{n-1}\\ J_j\subset I_j}} \Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big[\int_{I_j} \widehat{f_j}(t_j) e\big(t_j x_0 + f_1(t_j)x_1 + \cdots + f_{n-1}(t_j)x_{n-1}\big) dt_j \Big] \Big\|^p_{L^p(B_{\frac{1}{\delta}})} \Big\}^{1/p}. \tag{3.2}$$

Next take $N = \frac{1}{\delta}$ and discretize inequality (3.2) by setting $t = \frac{k}{N}, k \in \{\frac{N}{2}, \ldots, N\}$.

This leads to the following inequality for separated intervals $U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1} \subset \{\frac{N}{2}, \ldots, N\}$

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k\in U_j} a_k e\Big(kx_0 + N\varphi_1\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_1 + \cdots + N\varphi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) \Big| \Big\|_{L^p([0,1]^n)} \ll$$

$$N^{\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}+\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{\substack{V_1,\ldots,V_{n-1}\\ V_j\subset U_j}} \Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k\in V_j} a_k e(\cdots) \Big| \Big\|^p_{L^p([0,1]^n)} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.3}$$

with $V \subset \{\frac{N}{2}, \ldots, N\}$ running in a partition in $\sqrt{N}$-size intervals.

Note that the domain $[0,1]^n$ may always be replaced by a larger box $\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}[0, K_j], K_j \geq 1$. In particular, the function

$$kx_0 + N\phi_1\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_1 + \cdots + N\phi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}$$

in (3.3) may be replaced by

$$kx_0 + N_1\phi_1\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_1 + \cdots + N_{j-1}\phi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1} \text{ where } \ N_1, \ldots, N_{j-1} \geq N.$$

Take $\phi_1(t) = t^2, N_1 = N^2, N_2 = \cdots = N_{j-1} = N$. We obtain

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k\in U_j} e(kx_0 + k^2x_1 + N\phi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + N\phi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) \Big| \Big\|_{L^p([0,1])^n)}$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}+\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{V_1,\ldots,V_{n-1}} \Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k\in V_j} e(\cdots) \Big| \Big\|^p_{L^p([0,1]^n)} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Our next task is to bound the individual summands in (3.4).

Write $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}) \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{n-1}$ as $\bar{k} = \bar{\ell} + \bar{m}$, ℓ_j the center of V_j and $|m_j| < \sqrt{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big(k_j x_0 + k_j^2 x_1 + N\phi_2\Big(\frac{k_j}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + N\phi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k_j}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) = \\
&m_1\Big(x_0 + 2\ell_1 x_1 + \phi_2'\Big(\frac{\ell_1}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + \phi_{n-1}'\Big(\frac{\ell_1}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) + \\
&\vdots \\
&+ m_{n-1}\Big(x_0 + 2\ell_{n-1} x_1 + \phi_2'\Big(\frac{\ell_{n-1}}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + \phi_{n-1}'\Big(\frac{\ell_{n-1}}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) + \\
&(m_1^2 + \cdots + m_{n-1}^2)x_1 + \psi(\bar{m}, x) \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\psi(\bar{m}, x)| < o(1)$ and $|\partial_m \psi(\bar{m}, x)| < O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ since $|\bar{m}| < \sqrt{N}$ and $|x| < 1$.

Thus $\psi(\bar{m}, x)$ may be dismissed in (3.4) when evaluating $\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \big| \sum_{k \in V_j} e(\cdots)\big| \|_{L^p([0,1]^n)}$. Make an affine change of variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } A = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_2'(\frac{\ell_1}{N}) & \cdots & \phi_{n-1}'(\frac{\ell_1}{N}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_2'(\frac{\ell_{n-1}}{N}) & \cdots & \phi_{n-1}'(\frac{\ell_{n-1}}{N}) \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

in the $(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ variables, noting that this linear coordinate change can be assumed regular provided $W(\phi_2'', \dots, \phi_{n-1}'') \neq 0$ (which is implied by (2.1) for $\phi_1(t) = t^2$).

Next, using periodicity, another coordinate shift leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k \in V_j} e(\cdots)\Big| \|_{L^p([0,1]^n)} \sim \\
&\Big\| \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} < \sqrt{N}} e\big(m_1 y_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1} y_{n-1} + (m_1^2 + \cdots + m_{n-1}^2)x_1\big) \Big\|_{L^p_{x_1, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}}([0,1]^n)} \\
&\lll N^{\frac{n-1}{4} + \varepsilon} \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

by the Strichartz inequality on $\mathbb{T}^n$.

Summarizing, we proved the following multi-linear mean value theorem.

Theorem 1. *Assume $n \geq 3$ and $\varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}$ satisfying*

$$W(\varphi_2''', \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}''') \neq 0.$$

Let $U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1} \subset \big[\frac{N}{2}, N\big] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ be $O(N)$-separated intervals. Then

$$\Big\| \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Big| \sum_{k \in U_j} e\Big(kx_0 + k^2 x_1 + N\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + N\varphi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big)\Big| \Big\|_{L^{\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}}([0,1]^n)} \leq$$

$$N^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \tag{3.7}$$

Remarks.

(i) Theorem 1 remains valid (following the same argument) with coefficients $a_k, k \in U_j$ and r.h.s. replaced by $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\sum_{k \in U_j} |a_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(ii) Note that (3.7) is best possible. Indeed, restricting $|x_0| < \frac{1}{N}, |x_1| < \frac{1}{N^2}$, $|x_2| < \frac{1}{N}, \ldots, |x_{n-1}| < \frac{1}{N}$, one gets the contribution

$$N^{n-1-\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)}(n+1)} = N^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

(iii) Also, as we will see shortly, (3.7) is only valid in the above multi-linear form.

4. Mean values estimates for the 8th and 10th moment

Note that (3.7) is the multi-linear version of an estimate on

$$\Big\| \sum_{k \sim N} e\Big(kx_0 + k^2 x_1 + N\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + N\varphi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big)\Big\|_{L^{2(n+1)}([0,1]^n)}. \tag{4.1}$$

Denoting $f_I = \sum_{k \in I} e\big(kx_0 + k^2 x_1 + N\varphi_2\big(\frac{k}{N}\big)x_2 + \cdots + N\varphi_{n-1}\big(\frac{k}{N}\big)x_{n-1}\big)$ for $I \subset [1, N]$ a subinterval, one adopts the following argument from [B-G]. Partition $[1, N]$ in intervals I of size $N^{1-\tau}$ ($\tau > 0$ small). Fix a point x and distinguish the following two scenarios. Either we can find $n-1$ intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}$ that are $O(N^{1-\tau})$-separated and such that

$$|f_{I_j}(x)| > N^{-2\tau} |f(x)| \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \tag{4.2}$$

or for some interval I, we have

$$|f_I(x)| > c|f(x)|. \tag{4.3}$$

The contribution of (4.2) is captured by the multi-linear estimate (3.7) and we obtain $N^{\frac{1}{2}+c\tau}$. For the (4.3)-contribution, bound by

$$\max|f_I| \leq \Big[\sum_I |f_I|^{2(n+1)}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2(n+1)}}$$

contributing to

$$\Big[\sum_I \|f_I\|_{2(n+1)}^{2(n+1)}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2(n+1)}}. \tag{4.4}$$

One may then repeat the process to each f_I. Note that after a coordinate change in x_0, x_1, we obtain exponential sums of the form

$$F(x) = \sum_{\ell\sim M} e\Big(\ell x_0 + \ell^2 x_1 + N\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}+\frac{\ell}{N}\Big)x_2 + \cdots + N\varphi_{n-1}\Big(\frac{k}{N}+\frac{\ell}{N}\Big)x_{n-1}\Big) \tag{4.5}$$

with $M = N^{1-\tau}$, $k \sim N$ fixed. Set for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

$$N\varphi_j\Big(\frac{k}{N}+\frac{\ell}{N}\Big) = M\psi_j\Big(\frac{\ell}{M}\Big) \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$\psi_j(t) = \frac{N}{M}\varphi\Big(\frac{k}{N}+\frac{M}{N}t\Big). \tag{4.7}$$

However the Wronskian condition $W(\psi_2''', \ldots, \psi_{n-1}''') > O(1)$ deteriorated. For $n = 3$ we will nevertheless be able to retrieve easily the expected bound, while for $n \geq 4$, the linear bounds turn out to be weaker than the multi-linear one.

Let $n = 3$. Then $\psi_2'''(t) = \frac{M^2}{N^2}\varphi'''(\frac{k}{N} + \frac{M}{N}t) = O(\frac{M^2}{N^2})$ and replacing $\psi_2 = \frac{M^2}{N^2}\tilde{\varphi}_2, x_2' = \frac{M^2}{N^2}x_2$, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F\|_{L^8_{x_0,x_1,x_2=O(1)}} &\sim \Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big\|\sum_{\ell\sim M} e\Big(\ell x_0 + \ell^2 x_1 + M\tilde{\varphi}_2\Big(\frac{\ell}{M}\Big)x_2'\Big)\Big\|_{\substack{L^8_{x_0,x_1=O(1)}\\ x_2'=O(\frac{M^2}{N^2})}} \\
&\leq \Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\cdots\|_{L^8_{x_0,x_1,x_2'=O(1)}} \\
&< \Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

assuming the expected bound at scale M. The bound on (4.4) becomes then

$$\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{\frac{3}{8}} M^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} = \Big(\frac{M}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{8}} N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

and summing over dyadic $M < N$ we reproved the main result from [B-I2].

Theorem 2. [B-I2].

Assume $\varphi''' \neq 0$. *Then*

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\sim N} e\Big(kx_0 + k^2x_1 + N\varphi\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2\Big)\Big\|_8 \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that in their application to $\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)$, $\varphi(t) = t^{3/2}$.

It is interesting to note that unlike in [B-I2], our derivation of (4.8) did not make use of Poisson summation (i.e. Process B).

The work of [B-I1] was extensively refined by Huxley and his collaborators, resulting in his book [H].

The present discussion is relevant to the so called 'First Spacing Problem'; (4.8) indeed means that the system

$$(4.9)\quad \begin{cases} k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 = k_5 + \cdots + k_8 \\ k_1^2 + \cdots + k_4^2 = k^2 + \cdots + k_8^2 \\ k_1^{3/2} + \cdots + k_4^{3/2} = k_5^{3/2} + \cdots + k_8^{3/2} + O(\sqrt{N}). \end{cases}$$

has at most $N^{4+\varepsilon}$ solutions in integers $k_1, \ldots, k_8 \sim N$ (the statement is clearly optimal).

Huxley considers the more elaborate problem in 10-variables

$$(4.10)\quad \begin{cases} k_1 + k_5 = k_6 + \cdots + k_{10} \\ k_1^2 + \ldots + k_5^2 = k_6^2 + \cdots + k_{10}^2 \\ k_1^{3/2} + \cdots + k_5^{3/2} = k_6^{3/2} + \cdots + k_{10}^{3/2} + O(\delta N^{3/2}) \\ k_1^{1/2} + \cdots + k_5^{1/2} = k_6^{1/2} + \cdots + k_{10}^{1/2} + O(\Delta N^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{cases}$$

(see [H], §11) for which the number $N_{10}(\delta, \Delta)$ of solutions is given by the 10th moment

$$\Big\| \sum_{k\sim N} e\Big(kx_0 + k^2x_1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)^{3/2}x_2 + \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)^{1/2}x_3\Big)\Big\|^{10}_{L^{10}_{x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3}}. \tag{4.11}$$

In the applications to exponential sums, $\Delta = \delta N$, $\frac{1}{N^2} < \delta < \frac{1}{N}$. In this setting, the following key inequality appears in [H-K].

Theorem 3. [H-K]. *With* $\Delta = \delta N$, $\frac{1}{N^2} < \delta < \frac{1}{N}$, *we have*

$$N_{10}(\delta, \delta N) \ll \delta . N^{7+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.12}$$

In what follows, we estimate (4.11) using Theorem 7 and will in particular retrieve (4.12) in a stronger form.

Start by observing that, as a consequence of (3.7), for U_1, U_2, U_3 and φ_2, φ_3 as in Theorem 1

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 \Big\{\prod_{j=1}^3 \Big|\sum_{k\in U_j} e(kx_0+k^2x_1+\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2+\frac{1}{\Delta}\varphi_3\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_3\Big|^{\frac{10}{3}}\Big\}dx_0dx_1dx_2dx_3 \ll$$
$$[\min(\delta N, N)+1][\min(\Delta N, N)+1]N^{5+\varepsilon} \tag{4.13}$$

Using the scale reduction described in (4.1)-(4.7), we also need to evaluate the contributions of

$$\frac{N}{M}\cdot (4.14) \tag{4.15}$$

with

$$(4.14) = \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 \Big\{\prod_{j=1}^3 \Big|\sum_{\ell\in U_j} e(\ell x_0+\ell^2x_1+\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k+\ell}{N}\Big)x_2+\frac{1}{\Delta}\varphi_3\Big(\frac{k+\ell}{N}\Big)x_3\Big|^{\frac{10}{3}}\Big\}$$

where $k\in[\frac{N}{2}, N]$, $I=[k, k+M[$ and U_1, U_2, U_3 are $\sim M$ separated subintervals of size $\sim M$ in I. By a change of variables in x, the phase function in (4.16) may be replaced by

$$e\Big(\ell x_0+\ell^2x_1+\frac{M^3}{\delta N^3}\tilde{\varphi}_2\Big(\frac{\ell}{M}\Big)x_2+\frac{M^4}{\Delta N^4}\tilde{\varphi}_3\Big(\frac{\ell}{M}\Big)x_3\Big) \tag{4.16}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_2(t)$ has leading monomial t^3 and $\tilde{\varphi}_3(t)$ leading monomial t^4. Hence $W(\tilde{\varphi}_2''', \tilde{\varphi}_3''')>c$ and (4.13) is applicable to (4.14) with N, δ, Δ replaced by $M, \frac{\delta N^3}{M^3}, \frac{\Delta N^4}{M^4}$. Therefore

$$(4.15) \ll \Big[1+\min\Big(\frac{\delta N^3}{M^2}, M\Big)\Big]\Big[1+\min\Big(\frac{\Delta N^4}{M^3}, M\Big)\Big]M^4N^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{4.17}$$

and (4.17) needs to be summed over dyadic $M<N$. One easily checks that the conclusion is as follows

Theorem 4. *Assume $W(\varphi_2''', \varphi_3''')\neq 0$ and $\delta<\Delta$. Then*

$$\Big\|\sum_{k\sim N} e\Big(kx_0+k^2x_1+\frac{1}{\delta}\varphi_2\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_2+\frac{1}{\Delta}\varphi_3\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)x_3\Big)\Big\|_{10}^{10} \ll$$
$$[\delta\Delta^{3/4}N^7+(\delta+\Delta)N^6+N^5]N^{\varepsilon}. \tag{4.18}$$

In particular

$$N_{10}(\delta, \Delta) < (4.18).$$

Hence, we are retrieving Theorem 3.

Remark. We make the following comment on the role of the first term in the r.h.s. of (4.18), relevant to the Remark following Theorem 1.

Partition $[\frac{N}{2}, N]$ in intervals $I = [n, n+M]$ of size M. Obviously $N_{10}(\delta, \Delta)$ is at least $\frac{N}{M}$ times a lower bound on the number of solutions of

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &m_1 + \cdots + m_5 = m_6 + \cdots + m_{10} \\ &m_1^2 + \cdots + m_5^2 = m_6^2 + \cdots + m_{10}^2 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\Big(\tfrac{n+m_1}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots + \Big(\tfrac{n+m_5}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \Big(\tfrac{n+m_6}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots + \Big(\tfrac{n+m_{10}}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(\delta) \\ &\Big(\tfrac{n+m_1}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + \Big(\tfrac{n+m_5}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Big(\tfrac{n+m_6}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + \Big(\tfrac{n+m_{10}}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(\Delta) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4.20)$$

Since

$$\Big(\frac{n+m}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{m}{N} + \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{N}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{16}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{N}\Big)^3 + \dots$$

$$\Big(\frac{n+m}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{m}{N} - \frac{1}{8}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{N}\Big)^2 + \frac{3}{16}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{N}\Big)^3 - \frac{15}{128}\Big(\frac{n}{N}\Big)^{-\frac{7}{2}}\Big(\frac{m}{N}\Big)^4 + \dots$$

the equations (4.20) may be replaced by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi\Big(\frac{m_1}{N}\Big) + \cdots - \varphi\Big(\frac{m_{10}}{N}\Big) = O(\delta) \\ \psi\Big(\frac{m_1}{N}\Big) + \cdots - \psi\Big(\frac{m_{10}}{N}\Big) = O(\Delta) \end{cases}$$

with φ, ψ of the form $\varphi(t) = a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4 + \cdots$ and $\psi(t) = b_3 t^3 + b_4 t^4 + \cdots$ and where $\begin{vmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ a_4 & b_4 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$.

Assume $\delta < \Delta$ and replace ψ by $\psi_1 = \psi - \frac{b_3}{a_3}\varphi = c_4 t^4 + \cdots$ Writing $\frac{m}{N} = \frac{M}{N}\frac{m}{M}$, we obtain conditions of the form

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\varphi}\Big(\frac{m_1}{M}\Big) + \cdots - \tilde{\varphi}\Big(\frac{m_{10}}{N}\Big) < O\Big(\frac{N^3}{M^3}\delta\Big) \\ \tilde{\psi}_1\Big(\frac{m_1}{M}\Big) + \cdots - \tilde{\psi}_1\Big(\frac{m_{10}}{N}\Big) < O\Big(\frac{N^4}{M^4}\Delta\Big) \end{cases} \quad (4.21)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} = t^3 + \cdots, \tilde{\psi}_1 = t^4 + \cdots$. Consider the system (4.19)+(4.21) with $m_i \leq M$. Clearly the number of solutions is at least

$$M^7 \min\Big(1, \frac{N^3}{M^3}\delta\Big).\min\Big(1, \frac{N^4}{M^4}\Delta\Big).$$

Taking $M = \Delta^{1/4}N$, we obtain $N^7\delta\Delta$. The quantity is multiplied further with $\frac{N}{M}$, leading to a lower bound $\delta\Delta^{3/4}N^7$ for $N_{10}(\delta, \Delta)$.

This shows that the first term in (4.18) (apart from the N^ε factor) is also a lower bound.

In our applications, Δ tends to be much larger then δ which makes ΔN the leading term in (4.18). Next, we develop an argument to reduce the weight of ΔN by involving also some ideas and techniques from [H]. It is likely that our presentation can be improved at this point.

We will need the following variant of van der Corput's exponential sum bound (cf. [Ko], Theorem 2.6).

Lemma 5. *Assume f a smooth function on $I = [\frac{N}{2}, N]$ and $f^{(3)} \sim \lambda_3$. Let $\{V_j\}$ denote a partition of I in intervals of size D. Then*

$$\sum_j \Big| \sum_{n\in V_j} e\big(f(n)\big)\Big|^2 \lesssim \begin{cases} N + D^{\frac12}\lambda_3^{-\frac12} + D^{\frac32}\lambda_3^{\frac12}N & (4.22)\\ ND\lambda_3^{\frac13} + D\lambda_3^{-\frac13} \ \textit{if} \ \ D > \lambda_3^{-\frac13}. & (4.23)\end{cases}$$

We first proceed with a multi-linear bound considering instead of (4.13) 5-linear expressions with $U_j \subset [\frac{N}{2}, N]$ of size $\sim N$ and $\sim N$ separated $(1 \le j \le 5)$

$$\int \Big\{ \prod_{j=1}^5 \Big| \sum_{k\in U_j} e\Big(kx_0 + k^2x_1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)^{3/2}x_2 + \frac{1}{\Delta}\Big(\frac{k}{N}\Big)^{\frac12}x_3\Big)\Big|^2\Big\} dx_0dx_1dx_2dx_3. \tag{4.24}$$

This quantity will increase by increasing δ and we replace δ by a parameter $\delta_1 > \delta$ to be specified. An application of Hölder's inequality permits then to bound (4.24) by (4.13) with δ replaced by δ_1.

Assuming $\Delta < 1$, perform a decoupling at scale $N\Delta^{\frac12}$ using (3.2). This gives an estimate on the l.h.s. of (4.13) by

$$\Delta^{-1}\int \prod_{j=1}^3 \Big[\sum_{V_j\subset U_j} \Big| \sum_{k\in V_j} e(\cdots)\Big|^{\frac{10}{3}}\Big] dx \tag{4.25}$$

with $V_j \subset U_j$ a partition in $N\Delta^{\frac12}$-intervals. Using again Hölder's inequality, one may bound

$$\prod_{j=1}^3 \Big[\sum_{V_j\subset U_j} \Big| \ \ \Big|^{\frac{10}{3}}\Big] \le \Big(\sum_{V\subset[\frac{N}{2},N]} \Big| \ \ \Big|^2\Big) \prod_{j=1}^2 \Big(\sum_{V_j\subset U_j} \Big| \ \ \Big|^4\Big) + \cdots \tag{4.26}$$

where $\cdots$ refers to the pairs U_2, U_3 and U_3, U_1 instead of U_1, U_2.

Specifying in (4.13), with δ replaced by δ_1, a range

$$x_2 \sim X_2 < 1 \text{ assuming } \ X_2\Delta > 100\delta_1 \tag{4.27}$$

an application of (4.22) to the first factor of (4.26) with $D = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}N, \lambda_3 \sim \frac{X_2}{\delta_1 N^3}$ gives the bound

$$N + \delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}}N^2X_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{3}{4}}NX_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.28}$$

We always assume

$$\Delta N > 100 \tag{4.29}$$

(this condition remains clearly preserved at lower scales, cf. (4.17)).

Apply the bilinear estimate (Theorem 1 with $n = 3$) to the second factor of (4.26) considering the variables x_0, x_1, x_2 and restricting $x_2 \sim X_2$. By (4.24), this gives the contribution

$$(1 + \delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}}NX_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{3}{4}}X_1^{\frac{1}{2}})(X_2 + \delta_1 N)N^{5+\varepsilon}.$$

Assuming $X_2 > \delta_1 N$, which by (4.29) implies (4.27), gives the bound

$$(1 + \delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}}N + \delta_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{3}{4}})N^{5+\varepsilon}.$$

The contribution of $X_2 < \delta_1 N$ is estimated by

$$\Delta^{-1}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^{\delta_1 N}\int_0^1 \Big\{\prod_{j=1}^{3}\Big[\sum_{V_j\subset U_j}\Big|\ \ \Big|^{\frac{10}{3}}\Big]\Big\}dx_0dx_1dx_2dx_3$$
$$\ll \Delta^{-1}\Big(\frac{N}{\Delta^{1/2}N}\Big)^3(\Delta N)\delta_1 N(\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}N)^5 \ll \Delta\delta_1 N^{7+\varepsilon}$$

assuming $\delta_1 N < 1$. This gives

$$(1 + \delta_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{3}{4}} + \delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}}N + \Delta\delta_1 N^2)N^{5+\varepsilon} \tag{4.30}$$

and setting $\delta_1 = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-1}$, assuming $\delta_1 > \delta$ gives

$$\big((\Delta N)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta^{3/2}N\big)N^{5+\varepsilon}.$$

If $\delta_1 \leq \delta$, use (4.30) with $\delta_1 = \delta$.

Thus the multi-linear contribution in the 10th moment may be estimated by

$$\Big(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta^{\frac{1}{4}}N + \Delta\delta N^2 + (\Delta N)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Delta^{3/2}N\Big)N^{5+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.31}$$

Next, consider te lower scale contributions

$$\sum_{\substack{I\subset[\frac{N}{2},N]\\ |I|=M}} \Big\| \sum_{n\in I} e(\cdots)\Big\|_{10}^{10}. \tag{4.32}$$

Fixing $M<N$ and replacing δ, resp. Δ, by $\frac{N^3}{M^3}\delta$, resp. $\frac{N^4}{M^4}\Delta$, we obtain the bound

$$\big(\delta^{\frac12}\Delta^{\frac14}N+(\Delta N)^{\frac12}\big)N^{5+\varepsilon}+(\Delta\delta N+\Delta^{3/2})\frac{N^{7+\varepsilon}}{M} \tag{4.33}$$

for the multi-linear contribution at scale M.

On the other hand, we can also make a crude estimate using the L^8-norm, leading to the contribution

$$\frac{N}{M}M^2\Big(1+\delta\frac{N^3}{M^2}\Big)M^{4+\varepsilon}\ll NM^{5+\varepsilon}+\delta N^4M^{3+\varepsilon} \tag{4.34}$$

and (4.34) $\ll(\Delta N)^{\frac12}N^{5+\varepsilon}$ for $M<(\Delta N)^{\frac{1}{10}}N^{4/5}$ and $\delta<N^{-7/5}(\Delta N)^{\frac15}$.

Hence we get

Lemma 6. *For $N\Delta>1$ and $\delta<N^{-7/5}(\Delta N)^{\frac15}$*

$$N_{10}(\delta,\Delta)\ll\big(\delta^{\frac12}\Delta^{\frac14}N+(\Delta N)^{\frac12}\big)N^{5+\varepsilon}+(\Delta\delta N+\Delta^{\frac32})(\Delta N)^{-\frac{1}{10}}N^{\frac{31}{5}+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.35}$$

Next, recall Lemma 11, 3.3 in [H].

Lemma 7. *Assume $\frac1N>\delta>\frac{1}{N^2}$ and $\frac1N<\Delta<\delta N$. Letting*

$$2\le T\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta N}}$$

be a parameter, the following inequality holds

$$N_{10}(\delta,\Delta)\lesssim\frac1TN_{10}(T^2\delta,T\Delta)+N_{10}(\delta,CT\delta). \tag{4.36}$$

Combining Theorem 4, Lemmas 6 and 7 (applied with $T=\Delta N$) gives

Lemma 8. *Assume $\frac1N>\delta>\frac{1}{N^2}$, $\frac1N<\Delta<\delta N$ and $\Delta\sqrt{\delta}N^{3/2}<1$. Then*

$$N_{10}(\delta,\Delta)<\big(1+\delta(\Delta N)^{\frac85}N^{\frac75}\big)\big(1+\delta^{\frac12}(\Delta N)^{\frac12}N^{\frac34}\big)N^{5+\varepsilon}+\big(\delta(\Delta N)^{\frac{14}{5}}+(\Delta N)^{\frac{3}{10}}\Delta^{\frac32}\big)N^{\frac{31}{5}+\varepsilon}. \tag{4.37}$$

Setting $\Delta=\delta N$ leads to the following strengthening of Theorem 3

Theorem 9. *For $N^{-\frac{33}{18}}\ge\delta\ge N^{-2}$, we have $N_{10}(\delta,\delta N)\ll N^{5+\varepsilon}$.*

Note that in view of the Remark following Theorem 4, the conclusion of Theorem 9 fails for $\delta > N^{-11/7}$.

5. On an inequality of Robert and Sargos

In [R-S] established the inequity

$$I_{10} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big(\sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + n^4y)|^{10} dxdy \ll N^{\frac{49}{8}+\varepsilon} \tag{5.1}$$

which they applied to obtain new estimates on Weyl sums. An improvement of (5.1) appears in [P], who obtains

$$I_{10} \ll N^{6+\varepsilon}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using our methods, we present a further improvement.

Theorem 10.

$$I_{10} \ll N^{\frac{17}{3}+\varepsilon} \tag{5.3}$$

The corresponding improvement in Weyl's inequality following Heath-Brown's method was recorded in the Introduction.

Note that bounding I_{10} is tantamount to estimating the number of integral solutions $n_i \sim N$ $(1 \leq 1 \leq 10)$ of the system

$$\begin{cases} n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 + n_5^2 = n_6^2 + n_7^2 + n_8^2 + n_9^2 + n_{10}^2 \\ n_1^4 + n_2^4 + n_3^4 + n_4^4 + n_5^4 = n_6^4 + n_7^4 + n_8^4 + n_9^4 + n_{10}^4. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

The problem is not shift invariant and therefore as it stands not captured by a Vinogradov mean value theorem of the usual kind. Following Wooley's approach for (n, n^3) (see [W]), knowledge of the (conjectural) optimal VMVT for $k = 4$ (which would involve the 20th moment) and interpolation with the 6th moment would at the best deliver $I_{10} \ll N^{\frac{41}{7}}$, inferior to (5.3).

A crude summary of our argument. As in [R-S], we need to consider the more general expressions

$$I_p(\lambda) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + \lambda n^4 y)\Big|^p dxdy \tag{5.5}$$

with $p \geq 6$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. A first step is an application of the decoupling theorem from [B-D] for planar curves similarly as in [B-D], Theorem 2.18 (where an extension of the result $I_6(N^{-3}) \ll N^{3+\varepsilon}$ from [R-S] is established). At this stage, one gets shorter sums, of length M say, i.e. $n \in [n_0, n_0 + M]$

with n_0 ranging in $\big[N, \frac{N}{2}\big]$. Exploiting n_0 as an additional variable leads then to mean value expressions of the form

$$\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 \Big|\sum_{m\sim M} e(xm+ym^2+\lambda Nzm^3+\lambda wm^4)\Big|^p dxdydzdw \quad (5.6)$$

to which Theorem 1 is applicable. In the above λ plays the role of a parameter, nothing that $I_p(\lambda)$ decreases with λ for p an even integer.

5.1. **Preliminary decoupling.**

Denote $S=\sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x+\lambda n^4y)$ and $S_I=\sum_{n\in I} e(n^2x+\lambda n^4y)$ for $I\subset\big[\frac{N}{2},N\big]$ an interval. Assuming

$$\lambda N^4 > \frac{N^2}{M^2}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lambda N^2M^2>1 \quad (5.7)$$

the decoupling theorem for curves gives for $p\geq 6$

$$\|S\|_p \ll N^{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{\frac12-\frac3p}\Big(\sum_I \|S_I\|_p^2\Big)^{\frac12} \quad (5.8)$$

with $\{I\}$ a partition of $\big[\frac{N}{2},N\big]$ in M-intervals. Hence

$$I_p(\lambda)\ll N^{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{p-3}\Big(\frac1N\sum_{n\sim N}\int_0^1\int_0^1 |S_{[n,n+M]}(x,y)|^p dxdy\Big) \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$|S_{[n,n+M]}(x,y)| = \Big|\sum_{m\sim M} e\big((2nx+4\lambda n^3y)m+(x+6\lambda n^2y)m^2+4\lambda nm^3y+\lambda m^4y\big)\Big|. \quad (5.10)$$

5.2. **Distributional considerations.**

In view of (5.9), (5.10) and exploiting the additional average over n, it is natural to analyze the distribution induced by the map

$$\varphi : [0,1]\times[0,1]\times\{n\sim N\}\to \mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T}\times[0,4N]\times[0,1]$$

$$(x,y,n)\mapsto (2nx+4\lambda n^3y, x+6\lambda n^2y, 4ny, y)=(x',y',z',\omega'). \quad (5.11)$$

For the time, restrict y to $\big[\frac12,1\big]$ and denote μ the (normalized) image measure of φ. A translation $x\mapsto x-2\lambda n^2y \pmod 1$ clearly permits to replace φ by the map

$$(x,y,n)\mapsto (2nx, x+4\lambda n^2y, 4ny, y)$$

and we need to analyze the distribution of μ at scale $\frac{1}{M}\times\frac{1}{M^2}\times\frac{1}{\lambda M^3}\times\frac{1}{\lambda M^4}$.

Hence, let $k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}, |k|\lesssim M, |\ell|\lesssim M^2$ and $\xi,\eta\in\mathbb{R}, |\xi|\lesssim \lambda M^3, |\eta|<\lambda M^4$. The Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}$ of μ is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(k,\ell,\xi,\eta)=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\sim N}\int\int dxdy\, e(2nxk+(x+4\lambda n^2y)\ell+4ny\xi+y\eta)$$

implying

$$|\hat{\mu}(k,\ell,\xi,\eta)|\ll\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\sim N}1_{[2nk+\ell=0]}\,1_{[|4\lambda n^2\ell+4n\xi+\eta|\ll N^\varepsilon]}.$$

It follows from the restrictions on ξ,η that

$$|\ell|\ll\frac{N^\varepsilon}{\lambda N^2}+\frac{M^3}{N}=\frac{M^4}{N^2}<\frac{1}{\lambda N^{2-\varepsilon}}+\frac{M^3}{N}$$

and

$$|k|\ll\frac{1}{\lambda N^{3-\varepsilon}}+\frac{M^3}{N^2}.$$

Assume further

$$\lambda>N^{-3+\varepsilon}\text{ and }\ M<N^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}\tag{5.12}$$

as to ensure $k=\ell=0$.

Hence $\mu\ll N^\varepsilon\pi_{z',w'}[\mu]$. Returning to (5.9), we may therefore bound

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\sim N}\int_0^1\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 dxdy\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}e\big((2nx+4\lambda n^3y)m+(x+6\lambda n^2y)m^2+4\lambda nym^3+\lambda m^4y\big)|^p$$

by

$$\frac{1}{N^{1-\varepsilon}}\sum_{n\sim N}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 dx'dy'dy\Big(\sum_{m\sim M}e(x'm+y'm^2+4\lambda nym^3+\lambda m^4y)\Big)\Big|^p\tag{5.13}$$

Since $[\frac{1}{2},1]\times\{n\sim N\}\to[0,4N]\times[0,1]:(y,n)\mapsto(4ny,y)$ induces a measure bounded by the uniform measure at scale $1\times dw'$, it follows that at scale $\frac{1}{M}\times\frac{1}{M^2}\times\frac{1}{\lambda M^3}\times\frac{1}{\lambda M^4}$ μ may be majorized by uniform measure up to a factor $N^\varepsilon(1+\lambda M^3)$. Hence (5.13) may be bounded by

$$N^\varepsilon(1+\lambda M^3)\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}e(x'm+y'm^2+\lambda Nz'm^3+\lambda w'm^4)\Big|^p dx'dy'dz'dw'.\tag{5.14}$$

One may do better. Assume $\lambda M^3 > 100$ and shift in (5.13) the y-variable by $o\big(\frac{1}{\lambda M^4}\big)$, i.e. replace y by $y + \frac{z}{\lambda M^4}, z = o(1)$. One obtains

$$\frac{1}{N^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{n\sim N} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \int_0^1 dx'dy'dydz \Big| \sum_{m\sim M} e(x'm+y'm^2+4\lambda n\Big(y+\frac{z}{\lambda M^4}\Big)m^3+\lambda m^4 y)\Big|^p.$$

Assuming

$$\lambda M^4 < N \tag{5.15}$$

we note that for fixed $\frac{1}{2} \le y \le 1$, the map $(n, z) \mapsto n\big(y + \frac{z}{\lambda M^4}\big)$ induces a normalized measure essentially bounded by $\frac{1}{N} 1_{[0,2N]}$. Consequently, under the condition (5.15), (5.13) is bounded by

$$N^\varepsilon \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{m\sim M} e(x'm + y'm^2 + \lambda N z'm^3 + \lambda w'm^4\Big|^p dx'dy'dz'dw'. \tag{5.16}$$

Taking $M < N^{\frac{2}{3}}$, (5.15) will hold for $\lambda < N^{-5/3}$.

5.3. **Application of mean value theorems.**

Use the 8th moment bound ([B-I2]), or equivalently, Theorem 2 in the paper, we get

$$\max_{|c_m|\le 1} \int\int\int dx'dy'dz' \Big| \sum_{m\sim M} c_m\, e\Big(x'm + y'm^2 + \frac{z'}{M} m^3\Big)\Big|^8 \ll M^{4+\varepsilon} \tag{5.17}$$

Application of (5.17) to (5.16) with fixed w' and $p = 8$ implies then

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x+\lambda n^4 y)\Big|^8 dxdy \ll N^\varepsilon \Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^5 M^4 \Big(1+\frac{1}{\lambda N M^2}\Big) \ll N^{4+\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon} + \frac{N^{2+\varepsilon}}{\lambda} \tag{5.18}$$

taking $M = N^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}$ and $N^{-3+\varepsilon} < \lambda < N^{-2}$.

Braking up the range $y \in [0, 1]$ in sub-intervals $\big[\frac{1}{2}\sigma, \sigma\big], \sigma = 2^{-s}$ a change of variables and replacement of λ by $N^{-\frac{7}{3}}\sigma$ in (5.18) gives

$$\int_0^1 \int_{N^{-\frac{2}{3}}}^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + N^{-\frac{7}{3}} n^4 y)\Big|^8 dxdy \ll N^{\frac{13}{3}+\varepsilon}.$$

The remaining range is simply bounded by

$$N^{-\frac{2}{3}} I_8(N^{-3}) \le N^{\frac{4}{3}} I_6(N^{-3}) \ll N^{\frac{13}{3}+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence we establish 0.17.

Theorem 11.

$$I_8 \leq I_8(N^{-\frac{7}{3}}) \ll N^{\frac{13}{3}+\varepsilon} \tag{5.19}$$

Next, one may consider the 10th moment. Setting $p = 10$ in (5.9) implies with $M = N^{\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon}, N^{-\frac{8}{3}} < \lambda < N^{-\frac{5}{3}}$

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + \lambda n^4 y)\Big|^{10} dxdy \ll$$

$$N^{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^7 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Big| \sum_{m\sim M} e(mx + m^2y + \lambda N m^3 z + \lambda m^4 w)\Big|^{10} dxdydzdw. \tag{5.20}$$

Apply Theorem 4 with $\varphi_2(t) = t^3, \varphi_3(t) = t^4$ and $\delta = \lambda^{-1}N^{-1}M^{-3}$, $\Delta = \lambda^{-1}M^{-4}$

This gives the bound

$$N^{\varepsilon}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^7 \{\delta\Delta^{3/4}M^7 + (\delta+\Delta)M^6 + M^5\}.$$

$$\ll N^{\varepsilon}(N^2\lambda^{-7/4} + N^{\frac{11}{3}}\lambda^{-1} + N^{\frac{17}{3}}) \ll N^{4+\varepsilon}\lambda^{-1} \tag{5.21}$$

for λ as above.

Thus

$$\int_0^1 \int_{N^{-1}}^1 \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + N^{-\frac{5}{3}}n^4 y)\Big| dxdy \ll N^{\frac{17}{3}+\varepsilon}. \tag{5.22}$$

The remaining range may be captured using (5.19), i.e.

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{N^{-2/3}} \Big| \sum_{n\sim N} e(n^2x + N^{-\frac{5}{3}}n^4 y)\Big|^{10} dxdy \ll N^{-\frac{2}{3}}N^2 I_8(N^{-\frac{7}{3}}) \ll N^{\frac{17}{3}+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence we establish Theorem 11.

References

[B-D] J. Bourgain, C. Demeter, *The proof of the l^2-decoupling conjecture*, arXiv: 1405335.

[B-D2] J. Bourgain, C. Demeter, *ℓ^p decouplings for hypersurfaces with nonzero Gaussian curvature*, in preparation.

[B-G] J. Bourgain, L. Guth, *Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates*, GAFA 21 (2011), no 6, 1239-1295.

[B-I1] E. Bombieri, H. Iwaniec, *On the order of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)$*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 13 (1986), 449–472.

[B-I2] E. Bombieri, H. Iwaniec, *Some mean value theorems for exponential sums*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup.Pisa Cl. Sci (4) 13(1986), 473–486.

[H] M.N. Huxley, *Ares, Lattice Points and Exponential Sums*, LMS monographs, 13 (1996).

[H4] M.N. Huxley, *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, IV*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 66 (1993), 1–40.

[H5] M.N. Huxley, *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, V*, Proc. London Math. Soc (3) 90 (2005), 1–41,

[H-K] M.N. Huxley, G. Kolesnik, *Exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function III*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 62 (1991), 449–468.

[P] S. Parsell, *A note on Weyl's inequality for eight powers*, (preprint)

[R-S] O. Robert, P.Sargos, *Un théorème de moyenne pour les sommes d'exponentielles. Application à l'inégatite de Weil*, Publ. Inst. math. (Beograd) N.S. 67 (2000), 14–30.

[W] T. Wooley, *Mean value estimates for odd cubic Weyl sums*, arXiv 1401.7152v1, (2014).

[W2] T. Wooley, *Translation invariance, exponential sums and Waring's problem*, arXiv:1404.3508v1, (2014).

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, 1 Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540